

# EXHIBIT C

Excerpts from Transcript of  
February 16, 2018  
Deposition of Safra Catz  
(*Rimini II*)

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 -o0o-

4 RIMINI STREET, INC., a  
5 Nevada corporation,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

Case No.:  
2:14-CV-01699-LRH-CWH

8 ORACLE INTERNATIONAL  
9 CORPORATION, a California  
10 corporation, and ORACLE  
11 AMERICA, INC., a Delaware  
12 corporation,

13 Defendants.

14  
15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS  
17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18

19 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

20 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SAFRA CATZ

21 FEBRUARY 16, 2018

22 1881 Page Mill Road

23 Palo Alto, California

24 REPORTED BY: Siew G. Ung, RPR, CSR No. 13994  
25

09:02 1 MR. ISAACSON: Bill Isaacson, Boies, Schiller  
09:02 2 & Flexner for Oracle.

09:02 3 MR. HIXSON: Tom Hixson, Morgan, Lewis &  
09:02 4 Bockius for Oracle.

09:02 5 MR. MAROULIS: James Maroulis from Oracle for  
09:02 6 the Oracle entities.

09:02 7 MS. SMALL: Micol Small from Boies, Schiller &  
09:02 8 Flexner for Oracle as well.

09:02 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court  
09:02 10 reporter please swear in the witness.

09:02 11 SAFRA CATZ,  
09:02 12 having been first duly sworn, was examined and  
09:02 13 testified as follows.

09:02 14 EXAMINATION BY MR. LIVERSIDGE

09:02 15 Q. Good morning. Would you state your name for  
09:03 16 the record?

09:03 17 A. My name is Safra Catz.

09:03 18 Q. Ms. Catz, where are you currently employed?

09:03 19 A. Oracle.

09:03 20 Q. And what is your current position at Oracle?

09:03 21 A. I am one of two chief executive officers.

09:03 22 Q. For how long have you been chief executive  
09:03 23 officer of Oracle?

09:03 24 A. A couple of years.

09:03 25 Q. Are you also a member of Oracle's board of

11:35 1 THE WITNESS: You know what?

11:35 2 MR. ISAACSON: Calls for a legal conclusion.

11:35 3 THE WITNESS: I think that all of this  
11:35 4 intention -- all of this -- all of this infringement,  
11:35 5 egregious and callous disregard for -- for Oracle's  
11:35 6 intellectual property and constant -- and the continued  
11:35 7 infringement does not sound innocent to me.

11:35 8 BY MR. LIVERSIDGE:

11:35 9 Q. Now, this is in 2016, and you say that "Rimini  
11:35 10 is stealing our software."

11:35 11 A. Yes. And, in fact, they admitted after,  
11:36 12 really, like, five years of saying that they don't  
11:36 13 cross-use between -- between customers. One of their  
11:36 14 principals got up on the -- on the stand and admitted  
11:36 15 that they had been doing it all along. And, in fact,  
11:36 16 they destroyed evidence and really misbehaved, which is  
11:36 17 really -- I mean, people who destroy evidence, that's  
11:36 18 concerning.

11:36 19 Q. So do you have any understanding of what  
11:36 20 Rimini's current processes are to provide support for  
11:36 21 Oracle customers?

11:36 22 A. I personally do not.

11:36 23 Q. Do you have any basis to say that any of  
11:36 24 Rimini's current processes infringe on Oracle's  
11:36 25 intellectual property rights?

11:36 1 A. I think that's what this trial is about. But  
11:36 2 there was no question that in 2016 -- after the 2015  
11:37 3 trial, it was very clear that they were infringing --  
11:37 4 had infringed with all the customers that they were  
11:37 5 using.

11:37 6 Q. Well, Ms. Catz, you are out there telling  
11:37 7 people that "Rimini is stealing our software."

11:37 8 And you mean currently? That's what you are  
11:37 9 telling people, right?

11:37 10 A. No. I'm telling -- I'm telling them what was  
11:37 11 found -- what was -- listen, I didn't write this. I  
11:37 12 didn't say this. But there is no question that what I  
11:37 13 learned from the order -- from the trial was -- and from  
11:37 14 other pretrial rulings was that Rimini stole our  
11:37 15 software. Absolutely.

11:37 16 Q. And do you have any basis to say that any of  
11:37 17 Rimini's current processes --

11:37 18 A. I have no --

11:37 19 Q. -- infringe on your intellectual property  
11:37 20 rights?

11:37 21 A. That is what this trial is going to prove.

11:38 22 Q. Right. And there has been nothing found in  
11:38 23 that regard with respect to current processes, right?

11:38 24 A. No. I don't know that. All I know is years  
11:38 25 and years of infringement and stealing. And these

DEPOSITION OFFICER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA            )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO )

I, Siew G. Ung, hereby certify:

I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand  
Reporter in the State of California, holder of  
Certificate Number CSR 13994 issued by the Certified Court  
Reporters' Board of California and which is in full  
force and effect. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 28(a)(1)).

I am authorized to administer oaths or  
affirmations pursuant to California Code of Civil  
Procedure, Section 2093(b) and prior to being examined,  
the witness was first duly sworn by me. (Fed. R. Civ.  
P. 28(a)(a)).

I am not a relative or employee or attorney or  
counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or  
employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I  
financially interested in this action. (Fed. R. Civ. P.  
28).

I am the deposition officer that  
stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing  
deposition and the foregoing transcript is a true record

/ / /

1 of the testimony given by the witness. (Fed. R. Civ. P.  
2 30(f)(1)).

3 Before completion of the deposition, review of  
4 the transcript [XX] was [ ] was not requested. If  
5 requested, any changes made by the deponent (and  
6 provided to the reporter) during the period allowed, are  
7 appended hereto. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e)).

8 Dated: FEBRUARY 23, 2018

9  
10  
11  
12   
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25